

Meeting Minutes

Route 28 Corridor Feasibility Study Project No. 11-0845-007 October 2, 2017

A Technical Committee Meeting was held at the Prince William County, Room 202A/B, 5 County Complex Court on October 2, 2017 for the above referenced project. The following people were in attendance:

Name	Organization	Email	Phone
Robert losco	VDOT	Robert.losco@VDOT.Virginia.gov	703-259-2764
Steve Burke	City of Manassas	sburke@ci.manassas.va.us	703-257-8476
Elizabeth Scullin	Prince William County	escullin@pwcgov.org	703-792-4051
W. Todd Minnix	Fairfax County	Wesley.Minnix@fairfaxcounty.gov	703-877-5749
Paul Gilbert	NOVA Regional Parks	pgilbert@nvrpa.org	703-359-4600
Sree Nampoothiri	NVTA	Sree.Nampoothiri@thenovaauthority.org	703-642-4656
Kimball Brace	Prince William Historical Commission	kbrace@aol.com	202-607-5857
Randy Boice	JMT	<u>rboice@jmt.com</u>	703-464-7862
Brian Curtis	JMT	<u>bcurtis@jmt.com</u>	804-267-1256

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the public meetings held in City of Manassas Park and Fairfax County.

The following items were discussed:

- 1. Brian Curtis started off the meeting by providing a quick recap of the two public meetings that were held in September 2017.
 - 137 individuals attended the September 7, 2017 meeting in the City of Manassas Park and 48 individuals attended the September 11, 2017 meeting in Fairfax County.
 - Although the comment sheets did not ask for a preferred alternative, Alternative 2A had the most comments in its favor while Alternative 2B the most not in favor.
 - For Alternative 2B the biggest concerns were impacts to Civil War Battlefields and other environmental resources and the impacts to the Deepwood Vet Clinic.
 - Additional comments from the citizens during the question and answer session at the meetings included:
 - o Concerns about potential flooding
 - o Concerns about impacts to their residence
 - o Concerns about overdevelopment with the corridor
 - o Concerns about environmental resources
 - \circ Concerns about where traffic was coming from and would they use the bypasses shown for Alternative 2A, 2B and 9.



The Technical Committee decided that the comments received were not unexpected and did not warrant holding an Executive Committee meeting to discuss them with the Executive Committee. Additional meetings with the public and Executive Committee will be held during the NEPA process and thus will allow ample opportunities to address the citizen's concerns. All citizen's comments received to date will be considered during the NEPA process.

- 2. There was acknowledgement that the alignment of Alternative 2B would need to be adjusted to minimize impacts to cultural resources including battlefields. There was some discussion on whether the alignment shifts should occur now or during the NEPA process. The NOVA Regional Park asked that the alignments be shifted now to ease the concerns of their supporters. The Technical Committee decided that it would be more appropriate to wait until the NEPA process when more detailed information is available to help further minimize impacts.
- 3. Brian Curtis discussed the proposed cost estimate for the project and reminded the Technical Committee that no inflation factors were applied to the cost estimates. It was acknowledged by the Committee that when funding was sought that inflated cost estimates would be requested. It was also acknowledged that Alternative 2B may not be the preferred alternative in the NEPA document. If one of the other alternatives is chosen as the preferred alternative then additional funds should be sought. Steve Burke stated that the City and County would handle determining the amount of inflation to include in the funding request and would ask for JMT's assistance if needed.
- 4. Randy Boice discussed the status of the Route 28 Corridor Feasibility Study Report. He mentioned that JMT was working on completing the report and that a draft would be emailed to the Technical Committee in the next couple of weeks for review. The next Technical Committee meeting will discuss any comments received on the draft report.



The above represents a true and accurate account of the discussion during this meeting to the best of my knowledge. If there are any conflicts, misrepresentations, or omissions with the above statements, please contact the undersigned within 10 days of this date.

Brian Curtis

November 4, 2017

Copy:

Project File: <u>http://projectcenter.jmt.com/sites/11/11-0845-007/ProjectFiles/00-Public/Technical Committee</u> <u>Meetings/Meeting 11 10-2-2017</u>